

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

DR

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/085,966	02/28/2002	Gerald D. Eckstein	8266-0823	3797	
7	590 01/13/2004		EXAMINER		
Bose McKinney & Evans LLP			KEASEL, ERIC S		
Intellectual Pro			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
2700 First Indiana Plaza 135 North Pennsylvania Street Indianapolis, IN 46204			3754	THER NOMBER	
			DATE MAILED: 01/13/2004 18		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	100
Advisory Action	10/085,966	ECKSTEIN ET AL.	V
Advisory Addicti	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eric Keasel	3754	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover she t with the c	orrespondenc addi	ress
THE REPLY FILED 22 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ich places the applic	oly to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in t	fee. The appropriate extended the final Office action; or (ension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	•
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	implifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ns.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	I amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>22-24,26 and 56-63</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 21,25,27 a	nd 40.		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	<u> </u>	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:	Gene Man		
	Supervisory Paten	t Examiner	
	Group 370	00	- 1.7
EK SJANOY			

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 110/085,966

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the proposed change to the scope of the claims is more than "expressly stat[ing] what was previously inherent".

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the final rejection. Also, the passage cited by applicant regarding claim 56 discloses mechanical actuation with no pressure involved. Re claims 61-63 applicant is reminded that the claims define the first position as the closed position; not the neutral position. Re Pilolla '213, the manifold is the structure including the inlet, outlet, etc. Re claim 58, "biasing mechanisim" is much broader than spring. Re the combination of Pilolla and McNabb, the motivation is clearly stated in McNabb.